

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/964,337  | 09/28/2001  | Hirokazu Kondo       | Q66004                  | 2330             |
| 5590 06/23/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |             |                      | CHEN, PO WEI            |                  |
| 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2676                    | M                |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004 | . //             |
|   |             |                      |                         | 1                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

|  | •  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| •  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|  | 09/964,337   | KONDO, HIROKAZU  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen   | 2676   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply   | cation appears on the cover sheet v  | vith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file   | d on <i>April 16. 2004</i> .   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition to   | ,—   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6, 8 and 9 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6, 8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | e withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to  | a) accepted or b) objected to stion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone the correction is required if the drawing   | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>  | documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No<br>n received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Information Disc | TO-948) Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to an Amendment received on April 16, 2004. This action is non-final. Claims 1-6 and 8-9 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6 and 9 are independent claims.

The present title of the invention is "Color Reproduction Characteristic Display Apparatus, and Color Reproduction Characteristic Display Program Storage".

The Group Art Unit of the Examiner case is now 2676. Please use the proper Art Unit number to help us serve you better.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spaulding et al. (US 6,269,184; refer to as Spaulding herein).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Spaulding discloses a method and apparatus for interactive color transformation comprising:

A color reproduction characteristic display apparatus for displaying color reproduction characteristics wherein an association between coordinates of a first color space defining a color on image data and coordinates of a second color space defining a color on an image are defined in accordance with a device for mediating between the

Art Unit: 2676

image data and the image (see lines 1-5 of abstract, lines 1-8 of column 4 and lines 5-13 of column 5);

A range designation section for designating a desired coordinate range in said first color space in accordance with an operation ("Second, the user is given the choice of manually choosing specific input color values to be mapped to specific output color values", see lines 64-67 of column 4 and lines 5-13 of column 5; also see lines 54-57 of column 5). It is noted that while the claim recites coordinate, it is clear that the values of the color space representing the same (see lines 1-10 of column 4). Thus, limitation of claim is met;

An image display section for displaying a color reproduction image in which there are plotted coordinate points on said second color space associated with coordinates within the coordinate range designated by said range designation section of coordinates of lattice points wherein said first color space is partitioned as a lattice ("In FIG. 5A the lattice indices would be determined by the input control values and the position of the nodes would be determined by the default mapping... The arrows in FIG. 5B represent the direction and distance that the corresponding color values in the output space have been moved when the user designates the constraints by picking the corresponding color values in the output space", see lines 33-50 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C).

Wherein said image display section displays the color reproduction image together with information as to a distance in said second color space, said distance noting a color difference of, and corresponding to, two points on the color reproduction image designated by said display plot designation section ("The arrows in FIG. 5B represent the direction and distance that the corresponding color values in the output space have been

Art Unit: 2676

moved when the user designates the constraints by picking the corresponding colors in the output space", see lines 32-60 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C; The claim broadly recites displaying the color reproduction image together with information as to a distance in said second color space and said distance noting a color difference. It is noted that in Fig. 5A-C, each lattice column corresponds to a color values in the corresponding space. Thus, when the user selects two points (70,72), the user is able to see the color value difference according to the positions of the points on the lattice columns along with the graphical representation of the color reproduction).

4. Regarding claim 2, Spaulding discloses a method and apparatus for interactive color transformation comprising:

Image display section optionally displays the color reproduction image on a twodimensional display basis or a three-dimensional display basis in accordance with an operation (see Fig. 5A-C and Fig. 6A-D).

5. Regarding claim 3, Spaulding discloses a method and apparatus for interactive color transformation comprising:

Wherein said display plot designation section designates a desired point of points plotted on the color reproduction image it accordance with an operation ("For example, the user would select the color point in the input space corresponding to node 70 (FIG. 5A) in step 32 and then select the position of node 72 (FIG. 5B) in step 34 as the corresponding color value in the output space", see lines 45-54 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C);

Image display section displays the color reproduction image and in addition coordinate values on said first color space and coordinate values on said second color

Art Unit: 2676

space, which correspond to the point on the color reproduction image designated by said display plot designation section (see lines 33-53 of column 5 and Fig. 3). It is noted that the coordinate or color values can be displayed along with output images. Thus, limitation of claim is met.

6. Regarding claim 4, Spaulding discloses a method and apparatus for interactive color transformation comprising:

Wherein said display plot designation section designates a desired point of points plotted on the color reproduction image in accordance with an operation ("For example, the user would select the color point in the input space corresponding to node 70 (FIG. 5A) in step 32 and then select the position of node 72 (FIG. 5B) in step 34 as the corresponding color value in the output space", see lines 45-54 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C);

- 7. Regarding claim 6, as statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein. Also, see lines 49-54 of column 4 and Fig. 4.
- 8. Regarding claim 9, as statements presented above, with respect to claim 1 are incorporated herein. Also, see lines 51-65 of column 3 and lines 21-30 of column 4 of Spaulding. Color space transformation of input and output data values can be device-independent color space such as a CIELAB color space.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2676

- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spaulding 10. et al. (US 6,269,184; refer to as Spaulding herein) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Semba et al. (US 6,411,304; refer to as Semba herein).
- 11. Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Spaulding does not disclose an image display section has a mode wherein a plurality of color reproduction images associated with a plurality of output devices is displayed on a superposing basis. However, this is known in the art taught by Semba. Semba teaches a color data gamut conversion which shows color reproduction images in color space for different output devices (i.e. monitor and printer) (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the teaching of Semba to provide an efficient performance for data conversion. Also, both systems are for providing color space conversion. Thus, limitation of claim is met.
- 12. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spaulding et al. (US 6,269,184; refer to as Spaulding herein) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Poe et al. (US 5,857,063; refer to as Poe herein).
- 13. Regarding claim 8, Spaulding discloses a method and apparatus for interactive color transformation comprising:

Relates a color conversion for the image data to a device-independent color space as a first transformation; Relates a color conversion for the image data to the deviceindependent color space as a second transform (lines 51-65 of column 3 and lines 21-30 of column 4; color space transformation can be a device-independent color space such as a CIELAB color space).

Art Unit: 2676

Spaulding does not disclose inter-relates the first transform and an inverse of the second transform. Poe discloses a multicolorant process control utilizing the method (lines 20-24 of abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Poe to provide accurate reproduction of color (lines 11-13 of column 6, Poe). Also, both Spaulding and Poe are directed to method of transforming color spaces.

#### Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed April 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, the Applicant argues reference Spaulding does not teach or suggest displays the color reproduction image together with information as to a distance in said second color space, the distance noting a color difference of two points on the color reproduction image. However, this is known in the art taught by Spaulding (lines 32-60 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C). The claim broadly recites displaying the color reproduction image together with information as to a distance in said second color space and said distance noting a color difference. It is noted that in Fig. 5A-C, each lattice column corresponds to a color values in the corresponding space. Thus, when the user selects two points (70,72), the user is able to see the color value difference according to the positions of the points on the lattice columns along with the graphical representation of the color reproduction.

Regarding claim 9, the Applicant argues reference Spaulding does not teach or suggest a first color space that is device-dependent and a second space that is device-independent. However, this is known in the art taught by Spaulding (lines 51-65 of

Art Unit: 2676

column 3 and lines 18-30 of column 4). It is noted that the first color space can be defined as a device-dependent color space such as RGB, and second color space can be defined as a device-independent color space such as CIELAB.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew C Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen Examiner Art Unit 2676

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen June 16, 2004

Art Unit: 2676

## Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose plotted coordinate points on

a second color space associated with coordinates within the coordinate range designated by a range designation section of coordinates of lattice points wherein the first color space is partitioned as a lattice. However, this is known in the art taught by Spaulding (lines 33-50 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C; it is noted that the output image (second color space such as CMY) is being produced by manipulating (plotted) the lattice points where the coordinate points is also corresponding to the first space of the input image (RGB), also see lines 21-30 of column 4). Also, the Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose displaying color reproduction characteristics or represent plotted coordinates points on a device-independent color space. However, while claim recites color reproduction characteristics, the term is broad enough to include the color values being represented on the coordinates of lattice points being displayed during the process of color transformation from one space to another color space, or being reproduced (lines 1-5 of abstract and lines 33-50 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C, Spaulding). And the claim does not specify the limitation of device-independent color space.

The Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose displaying a color reproduction image of coordinate points plotted on a second color space. However, this is known in the art taught by Spaulding (lines 33-50 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C; it is noted that the user can manipulate (plotted) the lattice points to transform input image in

Art Unit: 2676

first space to output image (reproduced) in second space where the lattice points correspond to color values in the output space (second color space)). Also, the Applicant argues reference Spaulding does not disclose a display unit. However, Spaulding discloses that the user will be able to interactively process the transformation on a user interface which is illustrated in Fig. 5A-C, also see lines 36-42 of column 4 and lines 50-54 of column 7 and Fig. 2.

The Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose color spaces in either a two-dimensional or three-dimensional basis. However, this is known in the art taught by Spaulding (Fig. 5A-C and Fig. 6A-C; also see lines 5-8 of column 4).

The Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose display color reproduction characteristic or correspond to coordinate points in which a desired range of a device-dependent color space is partitioned as a lattice. The claim does not disclose this specific limitation.

The Applicant argues: reference Spaulding does not disclose color reproduction characteristic display (lines 1-5 of abstract and lines 33-50 of column 7 and Fig. 5A-C, Spaulding; color values correspond to characteristic; also see lines 36-42 of column 4 and lines 50-54 of column 7 and Fig. 2).

The Applicant argues: Semba does not disclose display color reproduction characteristics or correspond to coordinate points in which a desired range of a device-dependent color space is partitioned as a lattice. However, the claim does not specify the limitation. And Semba does show plurality of output devices are displayed on a superposed basis (see Fig. 1; also see lines 3-17 of column 7).

Art Unit: 2676

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew C Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen Examiner Art Unit 2676

Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen June 16, 2004

> MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker ( Bella